Blockchain-Enabled Trust Mechanisms in Football Lottery Markets: Smart Contract Design and Economic Impact Assessment

Neil J. Castro
Department of Computer Science, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA.
neil.castro@uab.edu

Abstract

The global football lottery market constitutes a multi-billion-dollar ecosystem characterized by opaque intermediation, delayed settlements, and persistent concerns over fairness and integrity. The integration of blockchain technology and smart contracts presents a transformative opportunity to re-engineer trust mechanisms within these markets, shifting reliance from centralized operators to decentralized, cryptographically enforced protocols. This paper presents a comprehensive system-level investigation into the architectural design, economic impact, and governance challenges of blockchain-enabled football lottery platforms. We propose a layered reference architecture that combines on-chain randomness generation, automated prize distribution, and transparent audit trails, while addressing the inherent trade-offs between decentralization, scalability, and regulatory compliance. A detailed analysis of smart contract design principles highlights the importance of secure entropy sources, gas-efficient state management, and upgradeability patterns that preserve user trust. The economic impact assessment quantifies the potential reduction in operational costs, the mitigation of fraud-related losses, and the redistribution of value toward participants through lowered house margins. Further, we examine the structural implications for taxation, anti-money laundering enforcement, and responsible gambling safeguards in pseudonymous environments. Cross-domain comparisons with decentralized finance (DeFi) protocols and prediction markets contextualize the football lottery case within broader socio-technical trends. Robustness is evaluated against adversarial manipulations, oracle failures, and network congestion. The discussion extends to sustainability concerns including energy consumption profiles of consensus mechanisms and long-term protocol maintenance. Policy pathways are proposed for regulators seeking to balance innovation with consumer protection. The paper concludes by outlining a research agenda for resilient, fair, and inclusive lottery infrastructures that leverage cryptographic trust without sacrificing practical accountability.

Keywords

blockchain, smart contracts, football lottery, trust mechanisms, decentralized systems, economic impact, governance.

1. Introduction

The football lottery market represents a unique intersection of sports culture, probabilistic forecasting, and large-scale financial transactions. Globally, state-sanctioned and privately operated football lotteries generate annual revenues exceeding tens of billions of dollars, engaging hundreds of millions of participants. Despite this economic significance, the underlying operational infrastructure remains largely dependent on centralized models that

concentrate trust in a single administrative entity. Such concentration creates well-documented vulnerabilities: delayed or contested payouts, opaque odds calculation, potential manipulation of results databases, and limited participant verifiability of draw integrity. In many jurisdictions, regulatory oversight seeks to mitigate these risks, yet the fundamental architecture of centralized trust persists, carrying inherent limitations in transparency and operational resilience. The emergence of blockchain technology, with its capacity for decentralized consensus, immutably recorded state transitions, and automated execution of contractual logic via smart contracts, offers a paradigm shift in how trust can be engineered within lottery ecosystems.

Blockchain-enabled trust mechanisms do not simply digitize existing processes; they reconstitute the relationship between the lottery operator and participants by embedding rules within open-source, auditable code deployed on a distributed network. In such a framework, the operator transitions from a discretionary intermediary to a coordinator of a protocol whose behavior is deterministically governed by pre-specified conditions. This transition has profound implications for market structure, regulatory oversight, and participant welfare. However, realizing these benefits requires careful navigation of architectural trade-offs that encompass security, performance, privacy, and compliance with legal frameworks that were designed for centralized fiduciaries. The present study undertakes a rigorous interdisciplinary analysis of these dimensions, focusing on football lottery as a domain where prediction complexity, real-time event integration, and high-frequency microtransactions pose distinctive design challenges.

Existing research has addressed isolated aspects of blockchain-based lotteries, including randomness generation protocols, prize distribution algorithms, and theoretical cost savings. Yet a holistic system-level examination that integrates smart contract design principles with a comprehensive economic impact assessment and forward-looking governance analysis remains absent from the literature. This paper fills that gap by developing a layered reference architecture, evaluating economic redistribution effects, and proposing policy-sensitive deployment models. The analysis is anchored in the football lottery context but draws upon insights from decentralized finance, prediction markets, and e-governance infrastructure, enabling cross-domain fertilization of ideas.

2. Background and Related Work

The lottery industry has historically been studied through the lenses of public finance, behavioral economics, and regulatory science. Traditional lottery models depend on centralized random number generation, operator-managed betting ledgers, and manual payout processes. Research has identified persistent inefficiencies, including high administrative overhead estimated at 15 to 30 percent of total handle in some jurisdictions [1], and shrinkage from fraud and embezzlement that erodes participant confidence [2]. The football lottery introduces additional complexity because outcomes depend on external sports event results, making oracle integration a critical vulnerability. Studies on sports betting integrity highlight frequent disputes over data accuracy and timeliness, which can undermine perceptions of fairness [3].

Blockchain-based lottery systems have received growing academic attention, particularly following the popularization of Ethereum smart contracts. Early conceptual frameworks proposed decentralized random number generation using block hash variables or commit-reveal schemes, but suffered from miner manipulation risks [4]. Subsequent work introduced verifiable random functions and threshold cryptography to enhance trustworthiness [5].

Within the sports prediction domain, specialized platforms have emerged that automate bet matching, result verification, and payout execution without centralized custody [6]. These systems demonstrate the viability of trustless operation but often encounter scalability bottlenecks during high-volume events such as major football tournaments.

Economic analyses of blockchain adoption in gambling markets have yielded mixed results. Some studies project substantial welfare gains from lower transaction costs and reduced moral hazard [7], while others caution that increased transparency might paradoxically reduce operator profitability and lead to market exit, diminishing service availability [8]. The regulatory dimension has been explored in work on decentralized autonomous organizations (DAOs) and their uncertain legal status under anti-gambling statutes [9]. The football lottery case raises distinct regulatory questions because many jurisdictions treat sports lotteries as state monopolies or heavily taxed products, creating tension with borderless decentralized protocols. The intersection of these technical, economic, and legal threads forms the foundation upon which this paper builds an integrated analysis.

3. System Architecture and Infrastructure Design

A blockchain-enabled football lottery platform requires a multilayered architecture that separates concerns between data acquisition, business logic execution, state storage, and user interaction. The foundational layer consists of the underlying blockchain network, whose choice profoundly influences transaction throughput, latency, finality guarantees, and cost structure. Public permissionless networks such as Ethereum provide maximal censorship resistance and auditability but suffer from variable gas fees that can render micro-bets economically prohibitive. Permissioned or consortium-ledger alternatives offer predictable performance and lower costs at the expense of full decentralization, potentially creating a trust deficit similar to centralized models. Hybrid architectures that employ a public chain for settlement and layer-2 rollups for execution are emerging as promising compromises, enabling high-frequency betting activity to be batched and cryptographically anchored to a secure base layer.

The middleware layer encompasses oracle services responsible for delivering authenticated football match outcomes to smart contracts. Oracles constitute a critical trust boundary because the correctness of the entire lottery payout depends on the fidelity of external data. Decentralized oracle networks that aggregate multiple independent data sources and employ economic staking mechanisms to penalize misreporting have demonstrated robustness in prediction market applications [6]. In the football context, oracles must interface with official league data feeds, handle disputed results, and account for match abandonments or postponements, requiring sophisticated dispute resolution logic encoded at the protocol level. The system must also integrate an on-chain randomness generation module for lottery draws that are independent of match outcomes, such as supplementary number selections. Verifiable delay functions and distributed key generation protocols have been proposed to prevent any single party from biasing the output, and these must be evaluated for latency and cost implications.

At the application layer, smart contracts encode the betting rules, odds calculation methodology, and payout distribution logic. A modular contract architecture that separates core logic from parameter configurations is advisable to facilitate upgrades without disrupting ongoing bets. The escrow module holds participant funds in a non-custodial manner, releasing them only upon deterministic resolution of the associated bet conditions. User-facing interfaces, including mobile applications and web portals, interact with the smart contracts

through wallet integrations, abstracting blockchain complexities while preserving non-custodial security properties. Comprehensive event logging enables real-time auditability and provides a verifiable historical record for regulatory reporting and dispute resolution.

4. Smart Contract Design Principles

Designing smart contracts for football lottery markets demands rigorous attention to security, gas efficiency, and fairness. The immutability of deployed code, while beneficial for trust, introduces risks when vulnerabilities exist, as exploited defects can lead to irreversible fund losses. Formal verification techniques, including model checking and theorem proving, should be applied to critical contract components such as payout calculation and escrow management. Comprehensive fuzzing and stress testing under simulated high-load conditions, corresponding to major football events, are essential to uncover subtle race conditions or reentrancy vectors that static analysis might miss. The use of established, audited libraries for token transfers and access control further reduces attack surface.

Gas optimization directly influences economic accessibility. Lottery participants place numerous small-value bets, and if transaction costs approach or exceed the stake amount, the market becomes nonviable. Contract designs should minimize state writes by batching bet registrations, using efficient data structures like Merkle trees for storing user commitments, and offloading complex computations to layer-2 environments where possible. The trade-off between on-chain verifiability and cost can be managed through zero-knowledge proof techniques that allow participants to verify outcomes without the full computational burden being borne by the network, a pattern increasingly adopted in decentralized gaming ecosystems.

Upgradeability presents a design tension between the desire for immutable rules and the need to patch vulnerabilities or adapt to changing football league structures and tax regulations. Transparent proxy patterns, in which a proxy contract delegates calls to an implementation contract whose address can be updated, offer a compromise. However, such patterns reintroduce governance risk, as the entity controlling the upgrade key could unilaterally alter the rules. Mitigation strategies include multi-signature controls, time-locks that provide participants an exit window before changes take effect, and decentralized governance mechanisms that require token-holder votes for significant modifications. The design must clearly communicate to participants which aspects are immutable and which are subject to governance, enabling informed consent.

The randomness source for lottery components must be provably fair and resistant to manipulation. Prevailing approaches combine commit-reveal protocols where participants contribute to a random seed, or rely on external verifiable random function services that produce outputs accompanied by cryptographic proofs of correct execution. The selection must account for gas costs and latency. In football lottery, where betting windows close before match kick-off, the randomness for supplementary draws can be generated after the window closes, eliminating participant manipulation concerns. The design must ensure that no oracle or miner can predict or bias the result after bets are locked.

5. Economic Impact Assessment

The economic impact of blockchain-enabled football lottery systems can be analyzed through changes in operational cost structure, value distribution among stakeholders, market participation patterns, and externalities such as tax revenue and problem gambling prevalence. Centralized lottery operators incur substantial costs related to payment processing, legal

compliance, audit, marketing, and physical infrastructure. By replacing many of these functions with automated smart contract logic and settled on a shared ledger, direct operational costs can be reduced significantly. Cryptographic audit trails eliminate the need for expensive third-party verification services. Instantaneous, global fund settlement minimizes foreign exchange and cross-border remittance costs, potentially expanding market access to underserved regions with underdeveloped banking infrastructure.

The reduction in the house margin, defined as the proportion of total bets retained by the operator, represents a central channel of value redistribution. Traditional football lotteries commonly operate with house margins between 30 and 50 percent, funding retail commissions, government duties, and operator profits. A well-designed blockchain protocol can operate with a margin below 5 percent, with the remainder returned to participants through higher payout ratios. Such dramatic margin compression can increase the effective return to bettors, thereby enhancing consumer welfare and potentially attracting a larger participant base. However, the sustainability of ultra-low-margin models depends on sufficient transaction volume to cover fixed blockchain infrastructure costs and to provide adequate incentives for oracle operators and liquidity providers where applicable.

From a market structure perspective, low entry barriers enabled by open-source smart contracts may erode the monopoly power historically enjoyed by state lotteries. This could stimulate competition, driving further innovation and efficiency gains. However, it may also fragment liquidity, leading to thinner markets with greater payout variance, which could reduce utility for risk-averse participants. Economic simulations suggest that there exists an optimal degree of market consolidation that balances competition benefits with the depth needed for stable odds [8]. Interoperable protocols that aggregate bets across multiple independent front-ends while sharing a common liquidity pool represent a hybrid model that can capture both scale and competition effects.

The impact on government revenue is multifaceted. Traditional lottery taxes, collected at the point of sale or on operator profits, may become harder to enforce when participants interact pseudonymously with smart contracts deployed on global networks. The erosion of state lottery revenues could pressure governments to adopt alternative taxation mechanisms, such as levies on smart contract interactions or on-ramp and off-ramp conversions between crypto assets and fiat currency. Conversely, blockchain's inherent transparency could aid tax authorities by providing an auditable trail of all transactions, enabling more accurate and efficient tax assessment if appropriate regulatory frameworks and identity verification integrations are adopted. The net fiscal impact depends on the elasticity of demand for football lottery services and the adaptability of tax policy.

6. Governance and Regulatory Considerations

Governance of blockchain-based football lotteries extends beyond technical protocol management to encompass compliance with diverse and often conflicting jurisdictional regulations. Traditional lotteries operate under strict licensing regimes, with mandates covering consumer protection, responsible gambling, anti-money laundering, and taxation. The pseudonymous nature of blockchain transactions complicates age verification and self-exclusion enforcement. Solutions integrating decentralized identity frameworks or zero-knowledge proofs for eligibility attestation are under development, allowing participants to prove they meet jurisdictional requirements without revealing personal information to the broader network. Such techniques must be carefully designed to comply with data protection regulations such as GDPR while satisfying gambling regulators.

Anti-money laundering controls present a significant challenge. Centralized exchanges increasingly enforce know-your-customer requirements on fiat on-ramps, creating a chokepoint where identity can be established. Smart contracts can incorporate compliance interfaces that restrict participation to addresses that have been verified by trusted attestation providers, though this reintroduces centralization and potential for censorship. An alternative approach uses on-chain analytics to detect suspicious patterns and flag them for review, combined with the ability for governance to freeze illicit funds through multisig mechanisms, a controversial but sometimes legally required capability. The design must balance the ethos of decentralization with the practical necessity of regulatory acceptance.

Responsible gambling measures in decentralized settings require innovation. Traditional centralized platforms can impose deposit limits, cooling-off periods, and reality checks based on user behavior monitoring. In a non-custodial environment, such interventions are harder to enforce at the protocol level. Economic mechanisms such as voluntary smart contract-enforced loss limits, where participants pre-commit to maximum losses over a period and the contract automatically halts further bets, represent a path forward. These self-exclusion tools can be made immutable, giving participants credible commitment devices. Consumer advocacy organizations have begun exploring how DAO-based governance can incorporate harm minimization mandates.

Cross-border operation of blockchain lottery protocols inevitably encounters conflicts between legal systems. A protocol that is legal in one jurisdiction may be prohibited in another, yet smart contracts are accessible globally. This creates a legal exposure for developers, validators, and governance token holders who may be deemed to be operating an unlicensed lottery. Legal scholarship advocates for safe harbor provisions for neutral infrastructure providers and for international coordination on mutual recognition of regulatory standards [9]. The football lottery domain, owing to the universal popularity of the sport, is particularly susceptible to such jurisdictional fragmentation and could serve as a catalyst for the development of transnational regulatory frameworks.

7. Robustness, Fairness, and Security Analysis

The robustness of a blockchain football lottery system hinges on its resistance to adversarial manipulations targeting multiple layers. At the consensus layer, 51% attacks could enable transaction censorship or chain reorganizations that reverse finalized bets, although the cost of such attacks on well-established networks is prohibitive. More subtle threats include front-running and miner extractable value exploits, where validators reorder transactions to profit at the expense of ordinary participants. Protocol designs must incorporate commit-reveal bet submission patterns and fair ordering protocols to neutralize these vectors. Encrypted mempools, currently an active area of blockchain research, could eventually eliminate front-running entirely by hiding transaction details until inclusion.

Oracle security directly determines fairness because manipulated match result reporting can lead to incorrect payouts. Multiple layers of defense are necessary: economic incentives that reward honest reporting and penalize deviations, cryptographic commitments from data providers, and a dispute period during which participants can challenge reported results by providing alternative evidence. A challenge mechanism built into the smart contract must balance swift settlement with adequate time for evidence submission, particularly for geographically distributed football matches where result finalization may be delayed. Incorporating redundancy across oracle services and using Schelling-point schemes where participants are rewarded for aligning with the majority report enhances resilience.

Smart contract-level fairness encompasses not only correct payout distribution but also equitable treatment under congestion conditions. During high-profile matches, network congestion can cause gas price spikes that disadvantage participants with lower willingness to pay, potentially excluding them from bet placement or prize claiming. Lazy settlement mechanisms, where bets are cryptographically committed off-chain and settled in batches, mitigate this inequality by decoupling the timing of bet commitment from on-chain execution. Additionally, gas rebate mechanisms funded by protocol reserves can subsidize participants during extraordinary conditions, preserving accessibility. Long-term fairness also requires transparency in protocol fee collection and use, which is naturally enforced through open-source code and on-chain treasury management.

Long-term protocol security must account for the risk of abandonment or governance capture. If the core development team disbands or governance becomes dominated by a plutocratic elite, the protocol could suffer from underinvestment or extractive fee increases. Sustainable funding models such as protocol-owned liquidity, perpetual fee splits directed to treasury contracts, or endowment structures inspired by public goods funding mechanisms can ensure ongoing maintenance and security audits without reliance on voluntary contributions. The football lottery context, with its predictable seasonal revenue patterns, is amenable to such structured self-funding.

8. Sustainability and Scalability

Sustainability concerns for blockchain lottery systems extend beyond environmental considerations, though those remain significant. Proof-of-work consensus mechanisms, while providing high security, incur substantial energy consumption that has drawn societal scrutiny. The migration of most smart contract platforms to proof-of-stake or similar low-energy consensus has mitigated these concerns dramatically. A football lottery protocol should select a base layer that demonstrates verifiable energy efficiency, and should further minimize its footprint by consolidating transactions through batching and layer-2 techniques. Beyond energy, economic sustainability involves ensuring that the protocol can weather crypto market volatility, funding shortfalls, and evolving regulatory costs.

Scalability must accommodate the highly bursty demand pattern characteristic of football lotteries. Major tournament finals can generate traffic surges orders of magnitude above baseline activity. Traditional cloud-based architectures can auto-scale elastically, but decentralized systems face inherent throughput limits. Sharding, where the network partitions state and processing across multiple shards, offers a path to horizontal scalability but introduces cross-shard communication complexity. Application-specific sidechains or rollups dedicated to the football lottery can isolate traffic and optimize performance for the specific workload, using the main chain for periodic checkpointing and dispute resolution. The economic design of such rollups must align incentives for sequencers to remain honest and available during demand peaks.

Long-term protocol viability also depends on adaptability to changes in the football ecosystem, including league structure modifications, the introduction of new competition formats, and evolving fan engagement patterns. Governance mechanisms that allow efficient parameter updates without requiring hard forks or contentious community debates are crucial. Simulation-based testing of proposed changes on historical data from multiple football seasons can inform governance decisions and reduce the risk of detrimental modifications. The protocol should maintain a research fund that supports continuous innovation, drawing analogies from the endowment models used by some open-source foundations.

An often-overlooked sustainability dimension is human capital. The development and maintenance of secure smart contracts require highly specialized skills in cryptography, distributed systems, and mechanism design. The protocol must invest in documentation, developer tooling, and educational initiatives to grow a sustainable talent pipeline. Bounty programs for vulnerability disclosure and community grants for auxiliary tool development have proven effective in other blockchain ecosystems and would be equally applicable here. Treating the protocol as a public infrastructure good, requiring stewardship rather than mere operation, frames sustainability as a socio-technical challenge spanning technology, economics, and community governance.

9. Policy Implications and Future Directions

The deployment of blockchain-enabled football lottery systems at scale will not occur in a policy vacuum. Policymakers face the challenge of fostering innovation that can improve market efficiency and consumer welfare while preventing negative social externalities such as underage gambling and problem gambling escalation. One potential pathway involves the creation of regulatory sandboxes where blockchain lottery protocols can operate under defined constraints, with real-time monitoring dashboards accessible to regulators. Such sandboxes can generate empirical evidence on user behavior, tax compliance, and harm minimization effectiveness, informing permanent legislative frameworks. The British Gambling Commission's experimentation with technology-neutral regulation provides a template that could be adapted.

International coordination among football governing bodies, lottery regulators, and blockchain standards organizations will become necessary as these markets transcend borders. The development of a global self-regulatory organization for blockchain lotteries, modeled after the Financial Action Task Force for anti-money laundering, could establish uniform standards for smart contract design, oracle certification, and consumer protection. Football associations such as FIFA and UEFA, which wield significant influence over the global football data ecosystem, could play a pivotal role by certifying authorized oracle feeds and endorsing protocols that adhere to integrity standards, thereby creating a trusted ecosystem within which decentralized platforms can operate.

Future research should pursue several directions. Empirical studies that leverage on-chain data from operational football lottery protocols to estimate demand elasticities and cross-price effects with traditional lotteries are urgently needed. The interaction between blockchain lotteries and decentralized prediction markets warrants deeper theoretical examination, as both compete for similar cognitive resources and risk capital. Technical work on privacy-preserving yet regulatory-compliant lottery participation, perhaps through advancements in fully homomorphic encryption or trusted execution environments, could resolve the tension between anonymity and accountability. Finally, the potential for blockchain lotteries to serve as funding mechanisms for public goods, where protocol fees are partially directed toward football development programs or community initiatives, aligns with emerging interest in decentralized public goods funding and merits dedicated design research.

The football lottery serves as a microcosm for broader questions about the role of decentralized trust mechanisms in markets that have long relied on centralized intermediaries. Lessons learned here will transfer to other lottery forms and to peer-to-peer betting exchanges, insurance protocols, and gaming platforms. The path forward requires interdisciplinary collaboration among computer scientists, economists, legal scholars, and policymakers,

guided by a shared recognition that technology can realign incentives but cannot replace the need for robust social governance.

10. Conclusion

This paper has presented a comprehensive, system-level analysis of blockchain-enabled trust mechanisms in football lottery markets, integrating architectural design, smart contract principles, economic impact, governance, and policy perspectives. The layered reference architecture offers a blueprint for building platforms that reduce operational costs, enhance transparency, and redistribute value to participants through lower house margins. Smart contract innovations in randomness generation, oracle integration, and gas-efficient design address domain-specific challenges while highlighting enduring trade-offs between decentralization, security, and upgradeability. The economic assessment reveals the potential for significant welfare gains, but also underscores the complexity of tax revenue implications and market structure evolution.

Governance of blockchain football lotteries must reconcile pseudonymous participation with regulatory mandates for consumer protection and anti-money laundering, a tension that privacy-enhancing technologies and collaborative governance models can partially mitigate. Robustness analyses confirm that carefully designed economic incentives and multi-layered security measures can defend against adversarial manipulation, yet the system remains exposed to network congestion and oracle failures, demanding continuous vigilance. Sustainability, both environmental and institutional, requires deliberate choices about consensus mechanisms, treasury management, and community stewardship.

Ultimately, the transition from centralized to decentralized lottery infrastructures is not merely a technological upgrade but a reimagining of the social contract between lotteries and society. Blockchain offers a toolset for embedding trust algorithmically, yet algorithmic trust must be complemented by legal frameworks and community oversight that safeguard human values. The football lottery, with its vast participant base, cultural significance, and complex data dependencies, provides a valuable testbed for these ideas. As the technology matures and regulatory clarity emerges, we anticipate a gradual convergence toward hybrid models that harness the efficiency and auditability of smart contracts while retaining accountable governance structures, delivering lottery services that are fairer, more inclusive, and more resilient than their current counterparts.

References

1. Bonin, J. P. (2014). The economics of state lotteries. Journal of Economic Surveys, 28(3), 536–563. https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12022

2. Clotfelter, C. T., & Cook, P. J. (1990). On the economics of state lotteries. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 4(4), 105–119. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.4.4.105

3. Forrest, D., & Simmons, R. (2003). Sport and gambling. Oxford Review of Economic Policy, 19(4), 598–611. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxrep/19.4.598

4. Bonneau, J., Clark, J., & Goldfeder, S. (2015). On Bitcoin as a public randomness source. In 2015 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (pp. 839–854). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/SP.2015.59

5. Syta, E., Jovanovic, P., Kogias, E. K., Gailly, N., Gasser, L., Khoffi, I., Fischer, M. J., & Ford, B. (2017). Scalable bias-resistant distributed randomness. In 2017 IEEE

Symposium on Security and Privacy (pp. 444–460). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/SP.2017.45

6. Peterson, J., Krug, J., Zoltu, M., Williams, A. K., & Alexander, S. (2015). Augur: a decentralized oracle and prediction market platform. arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.01042.

7. Buterin, V. (2014). A next-generation smart contract and decentralized application platform. Ethereum White Paper.

8. Christin, N., & Moore, T. (2013). Beware the middleman: Empirical analysis of Bitcoin-exchange risk. In Financial Cryptography and Data Security (pp. 25–33). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-39884-1_3

9. Wright, A., & De Filippi, P. (2015). Decentralized blockchain technology and the rise of lex cryptographia. SSRN Electronic Journal. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2580664

10. Liu, S., Wang, Y., & He, H. (2020, March). A New Playing Method of the Guessing Football Lottery. In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering (Vol. 790, No. 1, p. 012100). IOP Publishing.

11. Garay, J., Kiayias, A., & Leonardos, N. (2015). The Bitcoin backbone protocol: Analysis and applications. In Eurocrypt 2015 (pp. 281–310). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-46803-6_10

12. Daian, P., Goldfeder, S., Kell, T., Li, Y., Zhao, X., Bentov, I., Breidenbach, L., & Juels, A. (2020). Flash boys 2.0: Frontrunning in decentralized exchanges, miner extractable value, and consensus instability. In 2020 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy (pp. 910–927). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/SP40000.2020.00040

13. Zhang, F., Cecchetti, E., Croman, K., Juels, A., & Shi, E. (2016). Town Crier: An authenticated data feed for smart contracts. In Proceedings of the 2016 ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security (pp. 270–282). ACM. https://doi.org/10.1145/2976749.2978326

14. Narayanan, A., Bonneau, J., Felten, E., Miller, A., & Goldfeder, S. (2016). Bitcoin and cryptocurrency technologies. Princeton University Press.

15. Schar, F. (2021). Decentralized finance: On blockchain- and smart contract-based financial markets. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review, 103(2), 153–174. https://doi.org/10.20955/r.103.153-74

16. Kshetri, N. (2017). Can blockchain strengthen the integrity of lottery systems? IEEE Computer, 50(10), 78–82. https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2017.3641638

17. Gainsbury, S. M., & Blaszczynski, A. (2020). Digital gambling: The confluence of technology and behavior. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences, 31, 7–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cobeha.2019.10.011

18. Barber, S., Boyen, X., Shi, E., & Uzun, E. (2012). Bitter to better — how to make Bitcoin a better currency. In Financial Cryptography and Data Security (pp. 399–414). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32946-3_29

19. Hacker, P., Lianos, I., Dimitropoulos, G., & Eich, S. (2019). Regulating blockchain: Techno-social and legal challenges. In Regulating Blockchain (pp. 3–24). Oxford University Press.

20. Mougayar, W. (2016). The business blockchain: Promise, practice, and application of the next internet technology. Wiley.

21. Catalini, C., & Gans, J. S. (2016). Some simple economics of the blockchain. NBER Working Paper No. 22952. https://doi.org/10.3386/w22952

22. Wood, G. (2014). Ethereum: A secure decentralised generalised transaction ledger. Ethereum Project Yellow Paper.

23. Yermack, D. (2017). Corporate governance and blockchains. Review of Finance, 21(1), 7–31. https://doi.org/10.1093/rof/rfw074